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#### Abstract

Many statistical analyses were implicitly based on the normal distribution, and as a consequence, researchers would need to adopt the central limit theorem to perform the subsequent data analysis. When applying the central limit theorem, the sample size should be 30 or above in order to have the sampling distribution of sample means to be approximated to the normal distribution. Chang et al. (2006 and 2008) showed that when applying the central limit theorem, the sample size should vary depending on the probability distribution type. As a result, the present study examined if the sample size suggested by many textbooks for using the central limit theorem is appropriate. This study uses computer simulation on approximation of the binomial distribution to the normal distribution. It is to explore the minimum sample size required for a binomial distribution to approximate the normal distribution and be replaced by the normal distribution.
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## 1 Introduction

The normal distribution has a symmetrical bell shape, and it can be used to describe most social, science, industrial, and research phenomena. For example, many physical, biological, social, and psychological characteristics display a normal distribution. The normal distribution is important because it makes the analysis less complicated [24] and moreover, the bell-shaped curve and the symmetrical nature of the distribution can be used for the probability model of many types of populations. According to the central limit theorem, when the sample size, $n>30$, the sampling distribution of sample mean $\bar{x}$ will be approximated to the normal distribution. In other words, the normal distribution can be used as the approximate distribution of many types of samples under the central limit theorem [9]. In real life, there are assorted types of probability distribution, such as the unimodal vs. multimodal distributions, the symmetrical vs. asymmetrical distributions, the high vs. low skewness distributions, as well as the nonmodal, non-skewed and tailless uniform distribution. While some of probability distributions have a normal distribution-like pattern, others may have a pattern that differs greatly from the normal distribution pattern.

In the social science and natural science research domain, there are random experiments that regardless of the sample size, there are only two possible outcomes, such as good vs. bad products, effective vs. non-effective drugs, head vs. tail of coin tossing, customers' like vs. dislike of a company's products, and students' presence vs. absence in a field trip. These random experiments all contain $n$ independent and identical trials, and each trial has only two outcomes: success or failure. If the outcome probability of each trial is the same, this type of experiment is called a binomial random experiment. An excellent example of binomial random experiments from our everyday life is food safety inspection and testing, which have caught great attention because of serious food safety concerns in recent years. For this type of inspection and testing, there are only two outcomes: pass or fail, and therefore, they can be viewed as a

[^1]type of the binomial random experiment.
How large should the sample size $n$ be so that the central limit theorem can be applied appropriately? Most of the statistical textbooks or applied researched papers commonly assert that when the sample size is larger than 30 , the sampling distribution of the sample means $\bar{x}$ may be assumed to be approximately normally distributed, even if the distribution of the population is unknown [1, 7, 19, 21-23, 25-27, 29-32]. Some statistical literature also believes that when the population distribution is continuous, unimodal and symmetric, and no matter how small the sample size is, the approximate normality can still be assumed [2-4, 28]. Nevertheless, other academic studies have found that in many realistic or in the skewed and asymmetric population cases [11-12], a sample size of 30 is not sufficient to implement the central limit theorem, and certain misleading conclusions can be produced $[5-6,8]$. Therefore, it is quite significant for us to investigate the accurate sample size to support the central limit theorem. Namely, is the sample size of 30 too large or too small?
A query search in the Scopus e-database using central limit theorem and sample size as keywords returned a total of 327 related articles on the discussion of central limit theorem and sample size in recent years. However, most of the articles were discussions of the Kernel Estimator, Entropy, Convergence, Markov Chain, Monte Carlo, Covariance Matrix, Martingale, and statistics class teaching and instruction; journal articles that truly explored the central limit theorem and sample size are not that many [5-6, 10, 14]. After further studying these papers, we found that only two articles related to the probability distribution. They especially explored the application of the central limit theorem on the sample size of Weibull and Gamma distributions, respectively [5-6]. In the present study, therefore, the investigators examined the appropriateness of using the sample size suggested by general textbooks for determining whether the central limit theorem can be used or not. It was done using the modern computer technology to test the minimum simple size and properties required for the means of the binomial distribution to be approximated to the normal distribution. The objective of the study was to explore, at $5 \%, 10 \%$, and $20 \%$ error levels, the minimum sample size $n$ required for the normal approximation to the binomial distribution and to have the binomial distribution replaced by the normal distribution. Figures were also produced to provide other fields as reference material when applying the central limit theorem.

## 2 Statistical Tests and Simulation Steps

Under the central limit theorem, if one assumes that the sample mean $\overline{X_{n}}$ is the mean of a random sample $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . . ., X_{n}$ of size $n$ of a population that has a mean of $u$ and a variance of $\sigma^{2}$ (both greater than 0 ), then when $n$ is close to infinite positive, the random distribution of $\overline{X_{n}}$ will become approximated to either a normal distribution where the mean is $u$ and the standard deviation is $\sigma \sqrt{n}$ or to the distribution of the standard normal $N(0,1)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{\bar{X}_{n}-u}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}-n u}{\sqrt{n} \sigma} \rightarrow N(0,1) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume X as a discrete random variable with a probability mass function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=C_{x}^{n} p^{x} q^{n-x}, x>0,0<p<1 . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, it can be referred to as a binomial distribution with two parameters $n$ and $p$ [20], denoted by $X \sim B(n, p)$. In Equation $C_{x}^{n}=n!/ x!(m-x)!$, where $n$ is the number of trials, $x$ is the number of success , $p$ is the probability of success, and $q$ is the probability of failure $(=1-p)$. If parameters $n$ and $p$ of the binomial probability distribution are known, then the probability of each variable in the binomial probability distribution can be obtained.
The Shapiro-Wilk W-test proposed by Shapiro and Wilk [16] was used for normality testing, and the definition of the W-test is presented below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i n}\left(x_{n-i+1}-x_{(i)}\right)\right\}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}, x_{(1)} \leq \ldots . \leq x_{(n)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n$ is an even number, $h=n / 2$, and if $n$ is an odd number, $h=(n-1) / 2$. Shapiro and Wilk also provided a cross-reference table for parameters $\alpha_{\text {in }}$. Compared with other normality tests, the Shapiro-Wilk W-test is more sensitive. That is, it is applicable even for a small sample size $(n<20)$ or if there are outliers [17, 18]. Through computer implementation, Royston [15] extended the Shapiro-Wilk W statistic from small sample to large sample applications, Pearson et al. [13] also mentioned that compared with other normality testing methods, the W-test not only remains highly sensitive but also has the highest normality test power even when biased. Therefore, the study used the W-test statistics for the normality testing of the random distribution of the sample mean.
The study used the built-in binomial distribution random function of the Excel statistic program to carry out the random sampling simulation. With parameter $p \in\{0.05,0.1,0.2,0.3, \ldots \ldots, 0.9,0.95\}$ and a sample size of , $n \in\{2,3, \ldots \ldots, 300\}$, a random number function was used to randomly sample 200 sample sets, which provided a set of sample means $\bar{X}_{n, 1}, \bar{X}_{n, 2}, \ldots \ldots ., \bar{X}_{n, 200}$. Next, the Shapiro-Wilk W-test was employed to test if the 200 sample means meet the normality condition (at a significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ ). A test result, i.e., accepting or rejecting the normality assumption, was generated for each sample size $n$, and if the normality assumption is rejected, it would be viewed as successful. If the above test is performed for 300 times for each sample size $n$, then 300 Bernoulli trial results will be generated, which can be viewed as a new binomial sample set of a sample size of 300 . In the study, the test result $m$ (number of rejection) was used as a normality indicator, and the data were plotted to explore the relationship as well as the evaluation function. A total of $29,798,340,000$ random numbers $[=200 \times(2+3+4+\ldots \ldots+300) \times 11 \times 300]$ were generated in this study, and the normality test was performed repeatedly for 986,700 times $(=299 \times 11 \times 300)$.

## 3 Simulation Results

Using the above simulation method and statistical test, the investigators did a computer simulation using $p \in\{0.05,0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9,0.95\}$ and $n=2,3,4, \ldots 300$ The results are presented in Table 1 at the Appendix. It can be found from Table 1 that under the binomial distribution and with $p=0.05$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 162 . Even with a sample size of 300 , there were still 187 out of 300 times when the normality assumption was rejected. For $p=0.1$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 86 , but when the sample size was 300 , the number of times rejecting the normality assumption was reduced to 74 . For $p=0.2$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 43 . For $p=0.3$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 30 . For $p=$ 0.5 , the number of normality assumption rejection was reduced to 11 (out of the 300 tests) when the sample size was smaller than 25 . For $p=0.6$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 25 , and out of the 300 tests, the number of times rejecting the normality assumption began to increase. For $p=0.8$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 44 . For $p=0.95$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 77. For $p=0.95$, the normality assumption cannot be accepted when the sample size was smaller than 167, and out of the 300 tests, the number of times that the normality assumption was rejected was increased to 187 . Therefore, when $p$ got further away from 0.5 , the sample size had to be substantially increased to meet the normality requirement for employing the central limit theorem.
The investigators also observed the simulation outcomes when the sample size was fixed to 30 . It was found that when $p$ was $0.05,0.1,0.2$, and 0.3 , the normality assumption was rejected 300 out of the 300 tests. When $p=0.4$, the number of rejection $m$ was dropped to 288 ; when $p=0.5$, the number of rejection $m$ was dropped to 286; when $p=0.6$, the number of rejection $m$ was increased to 294; when $p=0.7$, the number of rejection $m$ was further increased to 298 ; and when $p=0.8$, the number of rejection $m$ was back to 300 . It can be found from the 300 test outcomes that even if $p=0.5$, the number of rejection would start to drop from 300 when the sample size was greater than 25 .
At this stage, according to the simulation outcomes presented above, a greater sample size would
imply that the sampling distribution of sample means would be more approximated to the normal distribution. The closer the binomial distribution parameter $p$ is to 0.5 , the higher the normal approximation speed is. Therefore, the single condition, i.e., with a sample size greater than 30 for using the central limit theorem, is apparently not appropriate for the binomial distribution.

## 4 Discussions

In Fig. 1, the W-test outcomes of different sample size $n$ of different parameters $p \in\{0.05,0.1,0.2,0.3, \ldots, 0.9$, $0.95\}$ under the binomial distribution were plotted into a line graph. The $x$-axis represented the sample size $n$, while the $y$-axis represented the number of normality assumption rejection $m$. It can be found from Fig. 1 that all the curves had a negative slope, meaning that a larger the sample size was associated with better normal approximation to the random sampling distribution of sample means. When treating $p=0.5$ as the center and with the two ends $p=0.05$ and $p=0.95$, and the number of times rejecting the normality assumption $m$ would start to decrease only when the sample size was greater than 160 . For $p=0.1$ and $p$ $=0.9, m$ would begin to decrease when the sample size was greater than 85 . Moreover, when the binomial distribution parameter $p$ got closer to 0.5 (e.g., when $p=0.3,0.4,0.6,0.7$ ), the curve would become closer to the two axes, and when $p$ was 0.5 , the curve was much closer to the two axes, meaning the highest speed of normal approximation.


Fig. 1. The relationship between $n$, the sample size, and $m$, the number of times rejecting the normality assumption under the binomial distribution.

Meanwhile, it can be found from Fig. 1 that the number of times rejecting the normality assumption $m$ only started to drop from 300 when the sample size $n$ was increased to a certain level. In other words, with the various values of the binomial distribution parameter $p$, there was a tendency between $m$, the number of times of rejection, and $n$, the sample size. The study used a regression model to display the tendency. It was the inverse regression model $\left(m=b_{0}+b_{1} / n+\varepsilon\right)$ that was used to find out the approximate curve. Make $\hat{m}$ the number of rejection to find out the estimated value using the approximate curve of the inverse regression model. It was found that the sample size and the number of rejection had the following relationship: ( $\hat{m}=b_{0}+b_{1} / n$ ) and $n \geq 2$. Make $k$ the number of times repeating the W-test for normality ( $k=300$ in the study), and $\bar{m}=\min \{\hat{m}, k\}$ was used to estimate the number of rejection, $m$. The estimated regression coefficients were shown in Table 2, and the approximate regression curve was plotted used the values (See Fig. 2).

Table 2. Inverse regression model of the binomial distribution

| P | $R^{2}$ | F | P | $b_{0}$ | $b_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 0.896 | 1181.355 | 0.000 | 56.654 | 42495.315 |
| 0.1 | 0.935 | 3077.868 | 0.000 | -29.932 | 30839.066 |
| 0.2 | 0.966 | 7324.573 | 0.000 | -27.837 | 15665.724 |
| 0.3 | 0.951 | 5218.513 | 0.000 | -20.399 | 10508.851 |
| 0.4 | 0.948 | 4987.122 | 0.000 | -18.829 | 8637.910 |
| 0.5 | 0.943 | 4545.461 | 0.000 | -19.172 | 8189.774 |
| 0.6 | 0.945 | 4703.603 | 0.000 | -18.860 | 8556.751 |
| 0.7 | 0.948 | 4891.549 | 0.000 | -23.928 | 10738.014 |
| 0.8 | 0.972 | 8966.035 | 0.000 | -24.715 | 15329.531 |
| 0.9 | 0.952 | 4431.786 | 0.000 | -15.584 | 28424.354 |
| 0.95 | 0.897 | 1152.809 | 0.000 | 46.952 | 45125.497 |







Fig. 2. Curve of the inverse regression model of the binomial distribution

It can be found from Table 2 that for each parameter $p$ in the binomial distribution, there was a significant association between the number of rejection and the sample size. When $p=0.05$, the relation can be expressed as $\hat{m}=56.654+42495315 / n$ and. $R^{2}=0.896$. Thereafter, the speed of normal approximation accelerated, but the fast increase of $R^{2}$ did not slow down until $p=0.2$. When $p=0.5$, the lowest speed was reached ( $R^{2}=0.943$ ), and then $R^{2}$ increased gradually again until $p=0.8$, resulting a long and flat tails of the regression curve (See Fig. 2). Overall, the degree of fit of the regression model was optimal and good.
It is mentioned in Chapter 2 that $m$ was the number of times that the normality assumption was rejected, i.e., the number of success out of the 300 times of Bernoulli trial. In Fig. 3, each small square denotes the result of the 300 times of Bernoulli trial, and the shadow part denotes that the number of rejection of the normality assumption out of the 300 times of Bernoulli trial was greater than the required rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ set by the investigators of the study (the required rejection rate can be viewed as an equivalence of the significance level of normality testing). As for the black part, it denotes that the number of rejection was smaller than the required rejection rate of the study. Take Fig. 3(a) as an example, the required rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ was set to be 5 (i.e., $m \leq 15$ ), and in Fig. 3(b), the rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ was 10 (i.e., $m \leq 30$ ). In Fig. 3(c), the rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ was 20 (i.e., $m \leq 60$ ).


Fig. 3. Bernoulli trial results of different required rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ under the binomial distribution, where the x axis was parameter $p$, and the y axis was the sample size $n$.

After altering the required rejection rate, a significant trend appeared, as shown in Fig. 3, and the trend was especially apparent in Fig. 3(c). The histogram displayed a normal distribution with $p=0.5$ as the axis of symmetry. As a result, the investigators became interested in capturing the relation between the parameter $p$ and the sample size $n$ under the binomial distribution. It can be found from the computer simulation result that when the binomial distribution parameter $p$ was close to 0.5 , the speed of normal approximation increased. In other words, the sample size would decrease when $p$ gets closer to 0.5 and would increase when $p$ gets further away from 0.5 .Therefore, the investigators used the linear regression model ( $n=b_{0}+b_{1} \times|p-0.5|$ ) to find out the approximate regression curve of parameter $p$ and sample size $n$. It can be found in Fig. 3(a) that because the number of rejection smaller than the required rejection rate (the black part) set by the investigators and decreased, the data were discrete, and data from the first
rejection was used to find out the approximate curve. It can be found in Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) that because the number of rejection smaller than the required rejection rate (the black part) set by the investigators and increased, the data were continuous, and all data from rejection were used to find out the approximate curve. As shown in Table 3 and Fig. 4 that when $m^{\prime}=5$, the relation can be expressed as $n=119.892+24.942 \times|p-0.5|$. When $m^{\prime}=10$, the relation can be expressed as $n=76.695+34.522 \times|p-0.5|$. When $m^{\prime}=10$ can be expressed as $n=35.032+23.930 \times|p-0.5|$.

Table 3. The regression model of different required rejection rates in binomial distribution

| $m^{\prime}$ | $R^{2}$ | F | P | $b_{0}$ | $b_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 0.769 | 26.585 | 0.001 | 119.892 | 24.942 |
| 10 | 0.801 | 1194.229 | 0.000 | 76.695 | 34.552 |
| 20 | 0.858 | 1791.953 | 0.000 | 35.032 | 23.930 |



Fig. 4. The regression curve of binomial distribution parameter $p$ and sample size $n$
According to the regression model presented in Table 3, the value of each $p$ in the binomial distribution can be estimated, and when the required rejection rate $m^{\prime}$ varied, the minimum sample size required for adopting the central limit theorem can be obtained. See Table 4 for the result. It can be found in Table 4 that a binomial distribution parameter $p$ closer to 0.5 indicates a better eligibility for using the central limit theory because the required sample size for the normal distribution decreases as the parameter $p$ gets closer to 0.5 . Take $m^{\prime} \leq 5$ as an example, when $p=0.5$, the minimum sample size required for using the central limited theorem was 120 . When $m^{\prime} \leq 10$ and $p=0.5$, the minimum sample size required for using the central limited theorem was reduced to 77 . When $m^{\prime}=20$ and $p=0.5$, the minimum sample size required for using the central limit theorem was further reduced to 35 . Nevertheless, the general condition, i.e., whether the sample size $n$ is greater than 30 , was not applicable when $m^{\prime}=5$ (equivalent to a significance level of 0.05 in the normal distribution) and $p=0.5$, when $m^{\prime}=10$ and $p=0.5$, or when $m^{\prime}=20$ and $p=0.5$.

Table 4. Minimum sample size $n$ required for using the central limit theorem in the binomial distribution

| $p$ | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.05 | 131 | 92 | 46 |
| 0.1 | 129 | 91 | 45 |
| 0.2 | 127 | 87 | 42 |
| 0.3 | 125 | 84 | 40 |
| 0.4 | 123 | 80 | 37 |
| 0.5 | 120 | 77 | 35 |
| 0.6 | 123 | 80 | 37 |
| 0.7 | 125 | 84 | 40 |
| 0.8 | 127 | 87 | 42 |
|  | 129 | 91 | 45 |
|  | 131 | 92 | 46 |

## 5 Conclusions

Many statistical analyses were implicitly based on the normal distribution, and as a result, the subsequent data analysis often needs to rely on the central limit theorem. The general criterion for using the central limited theorem is to have a sample size greater than 30 , so the random sampling distribution of sample means would be approximated to the normal distribution. It can be found in Table 4 that this sample size requirement was too small for the binomial distribution. For the approximate rate $\left(1-m^{\prime}\right)$ to reach $80 \%$, the required sample size should be increased as $p$ gets further away from 0.5 (i.e., a larger $|p-0.5|$ ). When $p=0.5$, a sample size of 35 would be required, and when $p$ was increased to $p=0.05$ or $p=0.95$, a sample size of 46 would be required. To obtain an approximate rate close to $90 \%$, the required sample size has to be increased to 77 when $p=0.5$ and 92 when $p=0.05$ or $p=0.95$. If the approximate rate is nearly $95 \%$, then the required sample size for $p=0.5$ would be 120 , and then increased to 131 for $p=$ 0.05 or $p=0.95$. Therefore, for $m^{\prime}=5$ (equivalent to a significance level of 0.05 in the normal distribution), $m^{\prime}=10$, or $m^{\prime}=20$, no $p$ can have the condition, i.e., a sample size $n$ greater than 30 , satisfied.
In addition, there is also the De Moivre Laplace theorem: When $n \rightarrow \infty$, the binomial distribution will be approximated to the normal distribution. To apply the theory, the sample size $n$ has to satisfy $n p>5$ and $n(1-p)>5$. In other words, when $p=0.5, n p>5$, and $n(1-p)>5, n$ only needs to be greater than 10 . Nonetheless, it was shown in the simulation presented in Table 4 that a sample size of 10 was not larger enough. When $p=0.05$ or $p=0.95, n$ has to be greater than 100 in order to satisfy the conditions of $n p>5$, and $n(1-p)>5$. An $n$ greater than 100 can satisfy the approximate rate of 0.90 and 0.80 , as shown in Table 4, but if the approximate rate is 0.95 , a sample size of 100 would still be too small.

When applying the central limit theorem, most general statistics textbooks, applied papers, and researchers use the "whether sample size is greater than 30 " criterion to assume the sampling distribution of the sample mean to be approximately a normally distributed, and thereby replaced by a normal distribution. Yet studies published by Chang et al. on the Weibull distribution in 2006 and the Gamma distribution in 2008, plus the present paper's binomial distribution all indicate that, when using computer simulations to explore normal distribution approximations, the sample size required for the approximate normal distributions should vary depending on the distribution type. That is, when the central limit theorem is applied for the different probability distributions, the minimum required and reasonable sample size should also be different.
Currently, commonly-used statistical software such as MINITAB, STATISTICA, JMP, SPSS, SAS, and EXCEL (which was used in the paper), all provide a variety of common statistical analysis, and their operation is easy. However, statistical software packages are limited in their number of individual data output, so it is not convenient or feasible to perform larger-scale simulations. If follow-up studies can use R, PYTHON, JULIA, JAVA, or other more functional software or programs, not only will the scale and efficiency of the simulations be increased, but they can also be used to compare with this study to see whether the results agree.
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## Appendix

Table 1. W test results of Binomial distribution as $p$ and $n$ varies. $(p=0.05,0.1,0.2, \ldots, 0.9,0.95, n=2$, $3,4, \ldots, 300$; Numbers in the table are reject frequency of repeating 300 W tests)

| Sample Size ( $n$ ) | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 2 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 3 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 4 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 5 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 6 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 7 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 8 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 9 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 10 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 11 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 12 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 13 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 14 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 15 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 16 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 17 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 18 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 19 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 20 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 21 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 22 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 23 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 24 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 25 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 26 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 298 | 297 | 298 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 27 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 297 | 295 | 299 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 28 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 295 | 295 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 29 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 296 | 294 | 298 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 30 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 288 | 286 | 294 | 298 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 31 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 281 | 281 | 293 | 299 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 32 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 276 | 262 | 282 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 33 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 297 | 273 | 264 | 278 | 296 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 34 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 298 | 275 | 259 | 276 | 295 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 35 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 292 | 270 | 258 | 267 | 296 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 36 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 288 | 259 | 236 | 239 | 291 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 37 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 291 | 227 | 230 | 250 | 290 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 38 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 283 | 239 | 224 | 229 | 280 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 39 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 280 | 227 | 224 | 239 | 275 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 40 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 264 | 207 | 201 | 220 | 261 | 299 | 300 | 300 |
| 41 | 300 | 300 | 299 | 270 | 223 | 193 | 218 | 271 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 42 | 300 | 300 | 297 | 262 | 203 | 192 | 204 | 268 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 43 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 263 | 209 | 181 | 187 | 254 | 297 | 300 | 300 |
| 44 | 300 | 300 | 296 | 250 | 193 | 147 | 178 | 258 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 45 | 300 | 300 | 295 | 240 | 198 | 168 | 181 | 229 | 299 | 300 | 300 |
| 46 | 300 | 300 | 295 | 235 | 166 | 159 | 172 | 235 | 295 | 300 | 300 |
| 47 | 300 | 300 | 295 | 229 | 176 | 167 | 175 | 222 | 295 | 300 | 300 |
| 48 | 300 | 300 | 292 | 211 | 168 | 136 | 180 | 222 | 293 | 300 | 300 |
| 49 | 300 | 300 | 296 | 211 | 183 | 144 | 151 | 208 | 287 | 300 | 300 |
| 50 | 300 | 300 | 290 | 201 | 165 | 155 | 176 | 213 | 289 | 300 | 300 |

Table 1. (Continued 1)

| Sample Size ( $n$ ) | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 51 | 300 | 300 | 298 | 202 | 151 | 126 | 141 | 208 | 282 | 300 | 300 |
| 52 | 300 | 300 | 280 | 169 | 139 | 139 | 156 | 193 | 241 | 300 | 300 |
| 53 | 300 | 300 | 278 | 196 | 144 | 123 | 135 | 197 | 276 | 300 | 300 |
| 54 | 300 | 300 | 276 | 202 | 136 | 118 | 139 | 191 | 269 | 300 | 300 |
| 55 | 300 | 300 | 273 | 160 | 134 | 116 | 123 | 178 | 277 | 300 | 300 |
| 56 | 300 | 300 | 277 | 165 | 132 | 112 | 123 | 178 | 266 | 300 | 300 |
| 57 | 300 | 300 | 257 | 172 | 127 | 92 | 113 | 175 | 257 | 300 | 300 |
| 58 | 300 | 300 | 272 | 160 | 118 | 110 | 90 | 160 | 248 | 300 | 300 |
| 59 | 300 | 300 | 258 | 158 | 114 | 95 | 116 | 157 | 239 | 300 | 300 |
| 60 | 300 | 300 | 258 | 169 | 112 | 87 | 104 | 152 | 252 | 300 | 300 |
| 61 | 300 | 300 | 253 | 96 | 88 | 97 | 100 | 176 | 232 | 300 | 300 |
| 62 | 300 | 300 | 238 | 152 | 103 | 96 | 101 | 151 | 245 | 300 | 300 |
| 63 | 300 | 300 | 229 | 139 | 102 | 92 | 87 | 92 | 237 | 300 | 300 |
| 64 | 300 | 300 | 236 | 122 | 112 | 130 | 99 | 125 | 225 | 300 | 300 |
| 65 | 300 | 300 | 238 | 133 | 92 | 91 | 72 | 139 | 236 | 300 | 300 |
| 66 | 300 | 300 | 222 | 102 | 80 | 70 | 96 | 117 | 214 | 300 | 300 |
| 67 | 300 | 300 | 235 | 118 | 101 | 84 | 94 | 148 | 228 | 300 | 300 |
| 68 | 300 | 300 | 218 | 134 | 94 | 69 | 72 | 110 | 206 | 300 | 300 |
| 69 | 300 | 300 | 211 | 121 | 65 | 71 | 88 | 122 | 208 | 300 | 300 |
| 70 | 300 | 299 | 214 | 122 | 96 | 62 | 89 | 120 | 194 | 300 | 300 |
| 71 | 300 | 299 | 197 | 107 | 75 | 73 | 71 | 117 | 219 | 300 | 300 |
| 72 | 300 | 300 | 201 | 113 | 81 | 73 | 75 | 104 | 206 | 300 | 300 |
| 73 | 300 | 300 | 208 | 121 | 82 | 89 | 70 | 104 | 200 | 300 | 300 |
| 74 | 300 | 300 | 199 | 111 | 70 | 62 | 77 | 95 | 192 | 300 | 300 |
| 75 | 300 | 300 | 171 | 100 | 80 | 65 | 69 | 120 | 197 | 300 | 300 |
| 76 | 300 | 300 | 155 | 98 | 81 | 64 | 63 | 108 | 176 | 300 | 300 |
| 77 | 300 | 300 | 195 | 98 | 72 | 70 | 58 | 72 | 173 | 300 | 300 |
| 78 | 300 | 300 | 172 | 109 | 76 | 72 | 69 | 103 | 167 | 299 | 300 |
| 79 | 300 | 298 | 179 | 102 | 74 | 60 | 72 | 91 | 179 | 299 | 300 |
| 80 | 300 | 298 | 172 | 87 | 62 | 60 | 69 | 98 | 155 | 297 | 300 |
| 81 | 300 | 298 | 153 | 83 | 52 | 59 | 61 | 95 | 174 | 297 | 300 |
| 82 | 300 | 299 | 161 | 87 | 60 | 64 | 60 | 94 | 148 | 299 | 300 |
| 83 | 300 | 298 | 171 | 97 | 59 | 54 | 91 | 82 | 178 | 298 | 300 |
| 84 | 300 | 300 | 187 | 73 | 44 | 63 | 37 | 90 | 161 | 297 | 300 |
| 85 | 300 | 300 | 222 | 177 | 151 | 35 | 30 | 15 | 197 | 298 | 300 |
| 86 | 300 | 300 | 165 | 116 | 56 | 71 | 61 | 101 | 173 | 291 | 300 |
| 87 | 300 | 297 | 145 | 85 | 62 | 65 | 42 | 79 | 145 | 295 | 300 |
| 88 | 300 | 292 | 146 | 93 | 63 | 51 | 62 | 94 | 144 | 291 | 300 |
| 89 | 300 | 293 | 134 | 90 | 56 | 54 | 53 | 75 | 143 | 294 | 300 |
| 90 | 300 | 297 | 82 | 86 | 110 | 57 | 91 | 70 | 160 | 294 | 300 |
| 91 | 300 | 295 | 126 | 72 | 52 | 53 | 58 | 81 | 150 | 299 | 300 |
| 92 | 300 | 296 | 177 | 39 | 46 | 72 | 74 | 90 | 128 | 294 | 300 |
| 93 | 300 | 287 | 115 | 84 | 58 | 38 | 55 | 90 | 132 | 277 | 300 |
| 94 | 300 | 288 | 135 | 76 | 45 | 56 | 61 | 83 | 126 | 288 | 300 |
| 95 | 300 | 280 | 150 | 95 | 61 | 68 | 56 | 77 | 117 | 293 | 300 |
| 96 | 300 | 281 | 120 | 69 | 64 | 43 | 62 | 70 | 130 | 277 | 300 |
| 97 | 300 | 284 | 127 | 73 | 56 | 52 | 48 | 72 | 115 | 286 | 300 |
| 98 | 300 | 283 | 119 | 63 | 46 | 45 | 53 | 66 | 128 | 284 | 300 |
| 99 | 300 | 274 | 120 | 72 | 57 | 43 | 55 | 43 | 116 | 268 | 300 |
| 100 | 300 | 275 | 115 | 69 | 44 | 33 | 36 | 87 | 114 | 277 | 300 |
| 101 | 300 | 282 | 113 | 61 | 52 | 55 | 49 | 56 | 121 | 289 | 300 |
| 102 | 300 | 276 | 117 | 66 | 55 | 60 | 70 | 68 | 136 | 283 | 300 |
| 103 | 300 | 281 | 116 | 70 | 36 | 47 | 61 | 57 | 134 | 273 | 300 |
| 104 | 300 | 274 | 104 | 64 | 54 | 48 | 41 | 78 | 100 | 269 | 300 |
| 105 | 300 | 275 | 101 | 69 | 60 | 52 | 46 | 63 | 123 | 263 | 300 |

Table 1. (Continued 2)

| Sample Size ( $n$ ) | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 106 | 300 | 264 | 92 | 74 | 53 | 51 | 49 | 70 | 112 | 243 | 300 |
| 107 | 300 | 267 | 118 | 54 | 56 | 38 | 49 | 55 | 106 | 267 | 300 |
| 108 | 300 | 267 | 109 | 65 | 56 | 48 | 33 | 66 | 103 | 258 | 300 |
| 109 | 300 | 268 | 100 | 72 | 54 | 45 | 52 | 50 | 96 | 260 | 300 |
| 110 | 300 | 260 | 102 | 64 | 56 | 53 | 52 | 72 | 115 | 274 | 300 |
| 111 | 300 | 264 | 101 | 67 | 43 | 29 | 54 | 53 | 97 | 257 | 300 |
| 112 | 300 | 250 | 108 | 54 | 48 | 38 | 47 | 45 | 92 | 265 | 300 |
| 113 | 300 | 248 | 107 | 58 | 59 | 48 | 49 | 56 | 104 | 260 | 300 |
| 114 | 300 | 245 | 99 | 76 | 33 | 42 | 45 | 61 | 111 | 251 | 300 |
| 115 | 300 | 245 | 89 | 60 | 47 | 39 | 42 | 58 | 84 | 235 | 300 |
| 116 | 300 | 244 | 97 | 63 | 55 | 57 | 37 | 43 | 103 | 253 | 300 |
| 117 | 300 | 237 | 101 | 56 | 45 | 43 | 53 | 50 | 85 | 257 | 300 |
| 118 | 300 | 248 | 94 | 56 | 46 | 44 | 46 | 59 | 106 | 257 | 300 |
| 119 | 300 | 250 | 104 | 60 | 43 | 38 | 42 | 54 | 102 | 235 | 300 |
| 120 | 300 | 251 | 101 | 66 | 46 | 30 | 40 | 47 | 82 | 231 | 300 |
| 121 | 300 | 243 | 107 | 39 | 38 | 37 | 39 | 47 | 101 | 251 | 300 |
| 122 | 300 | 236 | 78 | 68 | 35 | 37 | 41 | 49 | 94 | 242 | 300 |
| 123 | 300 | 233 | 97 | 48 | 40 | 36 | 44 | 52 | 94 | 218 | 300 |
| 124 | 300 | 235 | 73 | 61 | 34 | 38 | 49 | 49 | 89 | 226 | 300 |
| 125 | 300 | 231 | 91 | 54 | 55 | 33 | 44 | 47 | 86 | 230 | 300 |
| 126 | 300 | 213 | 73 | 53 | 34 | 41 | 43 | 48 | 101 | 223 | 300 |
| 127 | 300 | 224 | 86 | 55 | 47 | 42 | 46 | 47 | 105 | 223 | 300 |
| 128 | 300 | 229 | 72 | 46 | 38 | 11 | 16 | 48 | 89 | 217 | 300 |
| 129 | 300 | 221 | 87 | 55 | 30 | 37 | 37 | 44 | 70 | 208 | 300 |
| 130 | 300 | 226 | 92 | 46 | 49 | 35 | 40 | 41 | 76 | 217 | 300 |
| 131 | 300 | 227 | 95 | 51 | 37 | 30 | 34 | 54 | 92 | 219 | 300 |
| 132 | 300 | 207 | 70 | 30 | 49 | 43 | 58 | 24 | 68 | 208 | 299 |
| 133 | 300 | 225 | 76 | 53 | 37 | 40 | 40 | 51 | 92 | 220 | 300 |
| 134 | 300 | 205 | 96 | 57 | 40 | 35 | 33 | 49 | 93 | 206 | 300 |
| 135 | 300 | 206 | 94 | 46 | 37 | 35 | 43 | 39 | 92 | 232 | 300 |
| 136 | 300 | 208 | 71 | 55 | 35 | 24 | 34 | 44 | 76 | 208 | 300 |
| 137 | 300 | 217 | 86 | 43 | 41 | 33 | 31 | 47 | 87 | 210 | 300 |
| 138 | 300 | 182 | 84 | 36 | 35 | 30 | 32 | 51 | 86 | 199 | 300 |
| 139 | 300 | 209 | 79 | 46 | 42 | 44 | 41 | 43 | 81 | 180 | 300 |
| 140 | 300 | 184 | 92 | 57 | 39 | 45 | 18 | 42 | 81 | 184 | 300 |
| 141 | 300 | 196 | 88 | 50 | 45 | 29 | 32 | 38 | 70 | 185 | 300 |
| 142 | 300 | 205 | 58 | 48 | 37 | 41 | 30 | 59 | 65 | 199 | 300 |
| 143 | 300 | 191 | 75 | 46 | 33 | 44 | 41 | 49 | 72 | 200 | 300 |
| 144 | 300 | 198 | 62 | 39 | 36 | 30 | 38 | 49 | 89 | 184 | 300 |
| 145 | 300 | 196 | 64 | 44 | 35 | 34 | 42 | 55 | 69 | 175 | 300 |
| 146 | 300 | 187 | 76 | 46 | 28 | 49 | 35 | 48 | 60 | 193 | 299 |
| 147 | 300 | 179 | 73 | 53 | 32 | 36 | 43 | 49 | 68 | 180 | 300 |
| 148 | 300 | 171 | 68 | 40 | 21 | 21 | 35 | 42 | 66 | 204 | 300 |
| 149 | 299 | 188 | 68 | 45 | 46 | 29 | 26 | 56 | 85 | 195 | 299 |
| 150 | 300 | 180 | 76 | 44 | 29 | 31 | 44 | 40 | 66 | 200 | 300 |
| 151 | 299 | 182 | 70 | 52 | 21 | 33 | 27 | 39 | 74 | 171 | 299 |
| 152 | 300 | 178 | 81 | 36 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 10 | 79 | 169 | 299 |
| 153 | 300 | 187 | 76 | 32 | 24 | 41 | 38 | 28 | 73 | 175 | 299 |
| 154 | 300 | 184 | 74 | 45 | 30 | 25 | 36 | 39 | 78 | 179 | 300 |
| 155 | 298 | 161 | 68 | 44 | 33 | 30 | 31 | 33 | 69 | 153 | 300 |
| 156 | 299 | 164 | 63 | 47 | 21 | 35 | 39 | 26 | 63 | 189 | 299 |
| 157 | 299 | 160 | 64 | 43 | 37 | 25 | 30 | 48 | 65 | 160 | 300 |
| 158 | 300 | 190 | 69 | 49 | 32 | 29 | 60 | 40 | 50 | 194 | 298 |
| 159 | 299 | 157 | 75 | 44 | 32 | 33 | 42 | 55 | 65 | 171 | 298 |
| 160 | 298 | 163 | 51 | 47 | 49 | 35 | 28 | 33 | 51 | 160 | 299 |

Table 1. (Continued 3)

| Sample Size ( $n$ ) | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 161 | 300 | 169 | 68 | 43 | 43 | 38 | 26 | 50 | 60 | 156 | 298 |
| 162 | 300 | 167 | 56 | 40 | 27 | 28 | 34 | 48 | 67 | 174 | 298 |
| 163 | 296 | 162 | 75 | 38 | 27 | 30 | 35 | 42 | 65 | 161 | 299 |
| 164 | 295 | 167 | 72 | 33 | 26 | 27 | 38 | 29 | 56 | 151 | 300 |
| 165 | 298 | 173 | 65 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 36 | 39 | 62 | 145 | 294 |
| 166 | 298 | 167 | 67 | 36 | 29 | 24 | 33 | 41 | 61 | 153 | 300 |
| 167 | 296 | 157 | 52 | 31 | 35 | 27 | 29 | 41 | 67 | 162 | 300 |
| 168 | 295 | 152 | 49 | 30 | 31 | 21 | 32 | 29 | 64 | 163 | 294 |
| 169 | 297 | 161 | 60 | 28 | 31 | 24 | 26 | 37 | 51 | 158 | 296 |
| 170 | 294 | 165 | 68 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 29 | 43 | 61 | 142 | 297 |
| 171 | 298 | 166 | 55 | 43 | 21 | 31 | 33 | 47 | 50 | 154 | 299 |
| 172 | 289 | 146 | 62 | 48 | 10 | 21 | 34 | 51 | 56 | 143 | 298 |
| 173 | 292 | 158 | 52 | 44 | 28 | 26 | 31 | 49 | 54 | 153 | 291 |
| 174 | 297 | 146 | 52 | 30 | 21 | 17 | 31 | 37 | 69 | 140 | 288 |
| 175 | 295 | 139 | 50 | 29 | 38 | 38 | 33 | 32 | 50 | 151 | 290 |
| 176 | 293 | 146 | 53 | 40 | 28 | 25 | 32 | 33 | 66 | 161 | 297 |
| 177 | 293 | 145 | 53 | 45 | 33 | 17 | 29 | 38 | 52 | 163 | 290 |
| 178 | 291 | 146 | 57 | 47 | 59 | 42 | 47 | 31 | 49 | 185 | 290 |
| 179 | 294 | 150 | 55 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 46 | 45 | 134 | 295 |
| 180 | 290 | 143 | 53 | 35 | 28 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 52 | 142 | 295 |
| 181 | 290 | 149 | 46 | 44 | 35 | 24 | 27 | 43 | 59 | 153 | 290 |
| 182 | 290 | 142 | 64 | 34 | 27 | 26 | 32 | 35 | 44 | 123 | 290 |
| 183 | 287 | 132 | 64 | 35 | 26 | 28 | 33 | 41 | 63 | 132 | 290 |
| 184 | 293 | 128 | 63 | 35 | 22 | 27 | 31 | 23 | 55 | 157 | 289 |
| 185 | 292 | 123 | 51 | 30 | 32 | 31 | 33 | 44 | 48 | 132 | 283 |
| 186 | 289 | 153 | 58 | 34 | 30 | 36 | 38 | 39 | 50 | 141 | 288 |
| 187 | 288 | 134 | 55 | 37 | 35 | 22 | 26 | 28 | 46 | 131 | 287 |
| 188 | 275 | 146 | 45 | 78 | 34 | 17 | 21 | 31 | 32 | 165 | 299 |
| 189 | 279 | 121 | 52 | 43 | 27 | 27 | 23 | 38 | 51 | 135 | 289 |
| 190 | 289 | 131 | 52 | 42 | 28 | 24 | 31 | 36 | 69 | 130 | 288 |
| 191 | 285 | 135 | 48 | 29 | 21 | 20 | 29 | 37 | 60 | 134 | 276 |
| 192 | 286 | 138 | 58 | 38 | 35 | 28 | 30 | 25 | 50 | 130 | 287 |
| 193 | 275 | 120 | 55 | 36 | 27 | 23 | 32 | 28 | 61 | 132 | 286 |
| 194 | 283 | 120 | 40 | 43 | 32 | 44 | 26 | 16 | 31 | 138 | 283 |
| 195 | 278 | 128 | 42 | 26 | 22 | 16 | 29 | 25 | 58 | 149 | 279 |
| 196 | 279 | 105 | 51 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 22 | 21 | 50 | 113 | 276 |
| 197 | 276 | 129 | 57 | 25 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 38 | 48 | 125 | 284 |
| 198 | 285 | 118 | 53 | 37 | 33 | 29 | 27 | 34 | 42 | 146 | 281 |
| 199 | 276 | 128 | 50 | 24 | 26 | 30 | 30 | 36 | 51 | 117 | 276 |
| 200 | 295 | 142 | 63 | 48 | 33 | 43 | 14 | 24 | 54 | 119 | 281 |
| 201 | 278 | 120 | 54 | 29 | 25 | 12 | 30 | 34 | 59 | 125 | 276 |
| 202 | 278 | 120 | 48 | 40 | 30 | 31 | 28 | 34 | 49 | 123 | 281 |
| 203 | 274 | 121 | 57 | 36 | 32 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 54 | 131 | 271 |
| 204 | 278 | 125 | 42 | 39 | 19 | 30 | 31 | 25 | 50 | 106 | 279 |
| 205 | 280 | 102 | 52 | 52 | 27 | 24 | 31 | 28 | 41 | 89 | 282 |
| 206 | 270 | 115 | 29 | 43 | 32 | 24 | 36 | 24 | 45 | 112 | 281 |
| 207 | 271 | 118 | 42 | 30 | 22 | 18 | 32 | 25 | 47 | 115 | 268 |
| 208 | 268 | 108 | 45 | 34 | 29 | 24 | 34 | 30 | 51 | 125 | 277 |
| 209 | 280 | 107 | 38 | 42 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 31 | 121 | 267 |
| 210 | 256 | 111 | 47 | 29 | 19 | 32 | 30 | 28 | 51 | 118 | 274 |
| 211 | 268 | 120 | 48 | 27 | 29 | 33 | 18 | 25 | 50 | 131 | 277 |
| 212 | 262 | 112 | 49 | 31 | 28 | 22 | 27 | 36 | 52 | 119 | 266 |
| 213 | 268 | 131 | 48 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 26 | 31 | 48 | 106 | 264 |
| 214 | 267 | 126 | 60 | 30 | 22 | 26 | 31 | 38 | 48 | 117 | 260 |
| 215 | 268 | 118 | 46 | 26 | 28 | 18 | 18 | 29 | 49 | 133 | 268 |

Table 1. (Continued 4)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sample } \\ & \text { Size }(n) \end{aligned}$ | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 216 | 263 | 113 | 41 | 29 | 19 | 20 | 25 | 33 | 45 | 119 | 256 |
| 217 | 251 | 111 | 44 | 31 | 24 | 31 | 30 | 34 | 54 | 97 | 265 |
| 218 | 250 | 110 | 41 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 34 | 44 | 113 | 251 |
| 219 | 242 | 115 | 37 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 27 | 43 | 53 | 107 | 264 |
| 220 | 264 | 114 | 43 | 38 | 29 | 29 | 21 | 25 | 45 | 117 | 266 |
| 221 | 263 | 115 | 48 | 42 | 32 | 23 | 27 | 31 | 38 | 110 | 265 |
| 222 | 246 | 96 | 76 | 22 | 21 | 31 | 21 | 47 | 49 | 113 | 261 |
| 223 | 255 | 102 | 41 | 39 | 33 | 13 | 26 | 39 | 33 | 120 | 265 |
| 224 | 248 | 113 | 43 | 25 | 31 | 25 | 28 | 33 | 56 | 98 | 268 |
| 225 | 239 | 96 | 39 | 23 | 27 | 25 | 31 | 24 | 43 | 110 | 264 |
| 226 | 254 | 107 | 44 | 37 | 32 | 30 | 19 | 39 | 33 | 115 | 250 |
| 227 | 255 | 99 | 46 | 31 | 20 | 29 | 34 | 29 | 58 | 94 | 259 |
| 228 | 259 | 110 | 43 | 32 | 18 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 42 | 113 | 247 |
| 229 | 243 | 122 | 46 | 28 | 20 | 15 | 24 | 31 | 55 | 103 | 241 |
| 230 | 244 | 102 | 46 | 35 | 24 | 12 | 31 | 33 | 40 | 99 | 262 |
| 231 | 241 | 121 | 31 | 37 | 30 | 27 | 21 | 12 | 41 | 99 | 254 |
| 232 | 251 | 89 | 39 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 21 | 38 | 42 | 115 | 249 |
| 233 | 260 | 101 | 43 | 30 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 29 | 44 | 98 | 256 |
| 234 | 292 | 74 | 38 | 6 | 1 | 12 | 23 | 14 | 67 | 74 | 244 |
| 235 | 236 | 102 | 28 | 39 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 16 | 30 | 119 | 253 |
| 236 | 245 | 81 | 49 | 31 | 29 | 15 | 30 | 31 | 36 | 98 | 245 |
| 237 | 239 | 97 | 40 | 31 | 26 | 28 | 25 | 25 | 43 | 90 | 256 |
| 238 | 241 | 97 | 38 | 15 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 25 | 46 | 111 | 245 |
| 239 | 230 | 103 | 38 | 40 | 32 | 27 | 31 | 38 | 41 | 102 | 246 |
| 240 | 243 | 92 | 32 | 66 | 24 | 19 | 30 | 24 | 37 | 104 | 238 |
| 241 | 242 | 101 | 38 | 30 | 22 | 28 | 25 | 24 | 53 | 98 | 248 |
| 242 | 234 | 105 | 35 | 21 | 30 | 23 | 19 | 23 | 43 | 89 | 228 |
| 243 | 238 | 85 | 50 | 36 | 20 | 21 | 29 | 23 | 41 | 90 | 232 |
| 244 | 234 | 81 | 45 | 29 | 19 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 47 | 99 | 231 |
| 245 | 235 | 91 | 50 | 29 | 22 | 28 | 30 | 28 | 38 | 103 | 231 |
| 246 | 239 | 106 | 24 | 50 | 11 | 24 | 17 | 17 | 32 | 101 | 227 |
| 247 | 223 | 103 | 32 | 34 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 48 | 105 | 220 |
| 248 | 230 | 84 | 32 | 26 | 29 | 25 | 28 | 34 | 48 | 85 | 230 |
| 249 | 220 | 87 | 46 | 6 | 33 | 23 | 19 | 20 | 49 | 73 | 222 |
| 250 | 213 | 72 | 32 | 34 | 20 | 26 | 21 | 29 | 42 | 82 | 230 |
| 251 | 232 | 86 | 35 | 33 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 27 | 40 | 117 | 228 |
| 252 | 211 | 86 | 33 | 24 | 23 | 26 | 23 | 27 | 55 | 94 | 218 |
| 253 | 223 | 86 | 49 | 28 | 26 | 22 | 20 | 25 | 38 | 90 | 224 |
| 254 | 229 | 108 | 38 | 45 | 30 | 24 | 23 | 29 | 42 | 88 | 223 |
| 255 | 215 | 95 | 30 | 22 | 30 | 16 | 38 | 44 | 46 | 85 | 216 |
| 256 | 229 | 71 | 22 | 32 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 23 | 40 | 102 | 232 |
| 257 | 232 | 86 | 35 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 19 | 30 | 38 | 92 | 227 |
| 258 | 220 | 104 | 34 | 25 | 15 | 13 | 24 | 25 | 45 | 87 | 208 |
| 259 | 217 | 64 | 48 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 25 | 28 | 39 | 94 | 228 |
| 260 | 216 | 80 | 29 | 30 | 35 | 16 | 24 | 33 | 45 | 81 | 232 |
| 261 | 225 | 81 | 41 | 21 | 29 | 24 | 29 | 35 | 56 | 100 | 219 |
| 262 | 218 | 86 | 33 | 25 | 26 | 29 | 23 | 28 | 36 | 82 | 216 |
| 263 | 221 | 83 | 39 | 29 | 14 | 25 | 19 | 20 | 40 | 82 | 223 |
| 264 | 213 | 87 | 42 | 34 | 22 | 29 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 84 | 216 |
| 265 | 207 | 94 | 41 | 26 | 22 | 23 | 16 | 34 | 39 | 95 | 215 |
| 266 | 223 | 70 | 37 | 20 | 22 | 16 | 23 | 24 | 29 | 79 | 215 |
| 267 | 204 | 79 | 36 | 33 | 31 | 24 | 34 | 29 | 47 | 79 | 211 |
| 268 | 238 | 86 | 33 | 19 | 25 | 13 | 23 | 14 | 25 | 45 | 231 |
| 269 | 210 | 86 | 46 | 21 | 27 | 23 | 20 | 27 | 39 | 90 | 218 |
| 270 | 193 | 75 | 31 | 26 | 22 | 21 | 31 | 28 | 35 | 87 | 199 |

Table 1. (Continued 5)

| Sample <br> Size ( $n$ ) | $p$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.95 |
| 271 | 230 | 152 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 60 | 98 | 264 |
| 272 | 215 | 86 | 46 | 24 | 21 | 26 | 15 | 26 | 39 | 76 | 201 |
| 273 | 177 | 75 | 57 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 24 | 14 | 23 | 85 | 200 |
| 274 | 209 | 77 | 43 | 20 | 23 | 22 | 16 | 29 | 37 | 91 | 207 |
| 275 | 212 | 77 | 44 | 31 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 31 | 34 | 87 | 208 |
| 276 | 215 | 86 | 36 | 35 | 28 | 27 | 24 | 28 | 38 | 109 | 194 |
| 277 | 212 | 77 | 32 | 21 | 24 | 15 | 23 | 30 | 31 | 81 | 203 |
| 278 | 200 | 66 | 40 | 32 | 24 | 16 | 22 | 31 | 33 | 89 | 204 |
| 279 | 196 | 70 | 41 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 31 | 42 | 73 | 202 |
| 280 | 209 | 74 | 38 | 18 | 29 | 17 | 25 | 20 | 36 | 97 | 192 |
| 281 | 212 | 67 | 37 | 25 | 27 | 21 | 27 | 24 | 36 | 101 | 219 |
| 282 | 206 | 72 | 37 | 32 | 32 | 25 | 25 | 27 | 36 | 81 | 203 |
| 283 | 185 | 75 | 29 | 17 | 21 | 30 | 20 | 28 | 38 | 73 | 176 |
| 284 | 183 | 78 | 30 | 33 | 25 | 38 | 24 | 28 | 40 | 75 | 205 |
| 285 | 196 | 93 | 35 | 31 | 21 | 32 | 20 | 23 | 41 | 67 | 198 |
| 286 | 197 | 77 | 35 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 86 | 188 |
| 287 | 189 | 69 | 41 | 31 | 21 | 24 | 12 | 34 | 34 | 73 | 193 |
| 288 | 190 | 81 | 49 | 34 | 40 | 31 | 14 | 31 | 32 | 74 | 200 |
| 289 | 180 | 83 | 43 | 27 | 20 | 32 | 18 | 36 | 37 | 83 | 196 |
| 290 | 184 | 68 | 36 | 33 | 26 | 27 | 21 | 24 | 42 | 87 | 198 |
| 291 | 197 | 85 | 29 | 25 | 18 | 22 | 31 | 23 | 41 | 66 | 185 |
| 292 | 209 | 87 | 38 | 22 | 13 | 30 | 24 | 13 | 46 | 18 | 231 |
| 293 | 198 | 65 | 27 | 30 | 22 | 32 | 29 | 37 | 42 | 78 | 182 |
| 294 | 203 | 72 | 24 | 29 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 36 | 43 | 88 | 186 |
| 295 | 203 | 67 | 27 | 24 | 19 | 27 | 22 | 32 | 50 | 85 | 185 |
| 296 | 196 | 57 | 45 | 27 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 24 | 27 | 81 | 192 |
| 297 | 182 | 71 | 28 | 22 | 24 | 23 | 25 | 31 | 40 | 73 | 175 |
| 298 | 215 | 69 | 43 | 30 | 24 | 21 | 15 | 25 | 44 | 68 | 184 |
| 299 | 180 | 92 | 20 | 36 | 27 | 15 | 25 | 23 | 30 | 74 | 197 |
| 300 | 187 | 74 | 34 | 17 | 15 | 11 | 19 | 21 | 33 | 78 | 187 |


[^0]:    Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

[^1]:    * Corresponding Author

